

#### APPENDIX A: LETTER OF INTENT

#### FINAL LETTER OF INTENT

The final Letter of Intent should be submitted with your final application, due at noon on October 14, 2011. Unlike the non-binding draft Letter of Intent, the final Letter of Intent is binding. Please respond to all the questions below and provide the name and signature of each member of your final design team. Note that you are not required to submit a final Commitments and Expectations Form unless you have added new members to the design team, in which case you must submit a final form with the signatures of the new members.

APPLICANT TEAM INFORMATION								
Name of Applicant Team (If you are an organization, please incorplease list the name of the primary contact person):	clude the legal name of the organization. If you are a	n internal applicant team,						
LAUSD Loca	I District 7 / UTLA							
Francisco Gonzalez								
Address:	Phone Number:							
10616 S. Western Ave.								
Los Angeles, CA 90047	(323) 242-1300							
Website (if applicable)	Email Address:							
	francisco.gonzalez@lausd.net							
School site for which warm to an in submitted as a Leature	SRES #12 – Dr. Lawrence H. Moo	ro Math Science						
School site for which your team is submitting a Letter of Intent:	1999 BB WAS 1999	ire iviatii Science						
of intent.	Technology Academy							
Grade configuration of your school:	K-6							
		☐ Pilot						
School model for which you are applying:	☐ ESBMM	Network Partner						
	Affiliated Charter	☐ Independent Charter						
Please respond:								
Are you planning to operate more than one	1. No							
school on the campus?  2. If yes, how many schools are you proposing to	2. 3.							
operate?	3.							
If yes, will they all operate under separate CDS								
codes?								
School calendar please provide the following dates: 1. First and last date of instruction? 2. Winter recess dates 3. Spring recess dates	<ol> <li>August 14, 2012 - June 4, 2013</li> <li>December 17, 2012 - January 6, 2013</li> <li>March 25, 2013 - March 29, 2013</li> </ol>							

Printed Name	Signature	Phone	Email address	School/Affiliation
1. Francisco Gonzalez	Ingness Hongala	(323) 242-1300	francisco.gonzalez@lausd.net	Local District 7 Principal Leader
2. Dr. Barbara Lake	Super Lake	(323) 242-1300	bclake@aol.com	LASDI
3. Dr. Lawrence Moore	Laurence HMoore	(323) 936-0112	LHMoore2010@live.com	Retired Administrator
3 .Maria Anguera	Mapie-Angure	(213) 590-3103	maria.anguera@gmail.com	Miramonte Elem. Teacher
4. Grecia Marroquin	Sllauni	(323) 459-1549	gpm2014@lausd.net	Miramonte Elem. Teacher
5. Dr. Rosalinda Lugo	Rome of	(323) 255-2010	rlugo6@lausd.net	Local District 7 Principal Leader
6. Nicholas Camonte	na	(323) 347-8422	ncamonte@gmail.com	Lillian St. Elem. Teacher
7. Isabel Perez	Label &	(562) 861-6330	iperez1@lausd.net	Lillian St. Elem. Cat. Prog. Coordinator
8. Raymundo Reyes	R. Reyes	(323) 309-0937	rgr4887@lausd.net	Hooper Elem. Teacher
9. Christopher Downing	Christople Don	(323) 242-1300	christopher.downing@lausd.net	Local District 7 Principal Leader
10. William Downing	1 Sept 2	(323) 242-1300	william.downing@lausd.net	Local District 7 RTI <sup>2</sup> Expert
11. Christopher Arellano	Christopher Orellan	(323) 225-0121	christopher.arellano@pepperdine.e du	UTLA Area Representative
12. Gloria Silva		(323) 581-1658	N/A	Miramonte Elem. Parent
13. Norma Reyna		(323) 633-9900	N/A	Miramonte Elem. Parent
14. Ruby Silva	L26	(323) 709-7228	r.silva1005@att.net	Lillian St. Elem. Parent Comm. Rep.
15. Edith Pizano	Edith	(323) 378-0164	Edithpizano41@yahoo.com	Lillian St. Elem. Parent
16. Robin Benton	Benton	(323) 242-1300	robin.benton@lausd.net	Iocal District 7 Principal Leader

(Please add lines and pages as necessary)

#### PSC 3.0 Commitments and Expectations Form

We have read the above and understand that failure of any representative from our team to adhere to any of the expectations and commitments spelled out above could result in immediate disqualification of our team in the application process and participation in PSC meetings and workshops.

#### Lawrence H. Moore MST Academy (SRES #12)

Applicant Team Name/Organization	Local District 7 and UTLA
Name of Team Representative	Francisco Gonzalez
Signature of Team Representative	

Design Team Member Name	Signature
1. Francisco Gonzalez	Francisco Genzales
2. Dr. Barbara Lake	Barbara Lake
3. Dr. Lawrence Moore	Laurence Amoore
4. Maria Anguera	Maria Anguera
5. Grecia Marroquin	Chlanokul
6. Dr. Kosalinda Lugo	Rosalvidh Lugo
7. Nicholas Camonte	M. Co
8. Isabel Perez	Subel
9. Raymundo Reyes	Raymondon Reyes
10. Christopher Downing	Christopher Down
11. Will Downing	Did F
12.Christopher Arellano	Christopher arellano
13. Gloria Silva	U
14. Norma Reyna	
15. Ruby Silva	720
16. Edith Pizano	Edith D.

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Public School Choice Resolution 3.0 Applicant History Data Summary Sheet

н

NAME OF PSC SCHOOL: Dr. Lawrence H. Moore

FRPL % Proficient

	Size	2009-10 Enrollment	Dr. Lawrence H. Moore	Charter School/Network Partner		STREET, STREET		Local District 7	Elementary, Middle, or High Schools	1,557	684	1,263				Internal Teacher Team (Optional)	
		neohemA-neohilA 🎶								2	н	9					
Dem	Ethnicity	outs.		-						86	66	93	_	I	1		
Demographic	city	neleA 49		-				1		0	0	0			+		_
phic		% Free-reduced price lunch (FRPL)		-							0				4		_
	Other G	(13) snemen (et)			_						95 54				4		_
	Groups	(GW2) settilidesid/w zinebuti2 %		L	L						00						
	API	O10 Growth									765						
	Id	Net API Gain over 5 Years								104	76	53		I			
ı		% Proficient ELA 2010		-						30.7	46.1	25.7		ĺ			_
		Change from 2009		-	h							5.3					_
		% Proficient Math 2010		-						44.1	60.4	40.4					_
		Change from 2009		-	H							4.4					_
		SWD % Proficient ELA 2010		ŀ	H		-		H			3.2			H	ł	_
ı	CST	WP % Proficient Math 2010		ŀ			H		H			9.7			H		
	CST Proficiency	EL % Proficient ELA 2010			Γ		-					10.6					_
Perremance	ncy	EL % Proficient Math 2010			-					O.	σ	27.6					_
nance				L			-			7			)				_
	1	RPL % Proficient Math 2010		1	-		-		-	44 1 30	1 4	0	)	I			_
ı		adino % Proficient ELA 2010		ŀ	H		H		H	3 3 44	) 4			I		H	_
ı		atino % Proficient Math 2010  Alfan-American % Proficient ELA	1	ŀ	H		H	H	H	4 K1	- 11	2 28 K		ł	H		
ı		otto Vitcan-American % Proficient Math 010	1	ŀ			L		H	7 30	3 2	28	3	H	H		
ı		teclessification Rate 2006-09	L	-	Ļ		L	L	L	C+ 0		1 1 1	_		L		
١		eclassification Rate 2009-10		L	L		L		L	_	-	200	_		L		
ı	Others	Propout 4 Year Rabe 2008		L	L		L		L		1 1				L		
ı	100	year Rebention Rabes for Students nbering 9th Grade	1	L	L		L		L		L		L		L		

raduation Rate Over 4 Years 2009

# INSTRUCTIONS

The Applicant History Data Sheet provides supplemental information for Section A-3 "Applicant Team Analysis." Teams may choose to use a different format than what is provided here, but applicant teams must still submit as much data as possible to respond to Section A-3 and to support the strenghts of the team. While the District understands that the data may not necessarily be comparable across internal and external teams, nor within each category, data on past preformance remains a critical component in the application evaluation process.

- 1. Name of PSC School: Enter the name of the school for which you are applying.
- Applicant Team Name: Several examples are shown. Enter your team/organization name in bold.
- -Charter schools or Network Partners. List each school your organization manages that is at the same level as the PSC school. For example, if the PSC school is a middle school, only list the middle schools your
- -Local Districts. Provide the data for either all elementary, all middle, or all high schools, depending on the level of the PSC school. If the PSC school is a middle school, provide the data for your middle schools. School Teams. Applicant teams that involve the entire school should provide school-level data. organization manages.
  - -Feacher Teams. Internal teams that are groups of teachers do not have relevant data at the school level, which will be taken into consideration during the review of the Applicant History Data Sheet.
    Nonetheless, teacher teams are encouraged to provide data points they feel may be relevant and useful to the review. Teacher teams must also respond in narrative form to Section A, Question 2.
- 3. Demographic Data: In cases where data are not available, please note with an asterisk (\*) in the box.
  - 4. Performance Data: In cases where data are not available, please note with an asterisk (\*) in the box.

Design Team Name: Local District 7/UTLA Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math Science Technology Academy PSC School Site:

Harbor 12.6% 42.0% 57.0% 15.0% 26.3% 26.3% 46.7% 31.4% 51.4% Goal/ arge Year Safe N/A N/A Target 46.2% 63.0% 16.0% Harbor 18.0% 29.2% 29.2% 23.8% Goal/ 40.8% Year 46.0% Safe N/A N/A Diagnostic Assessments Language Arts Periodic Formative Assessments Summative Assessment Language Arts Periodic Summative Assessment PLC created Common PLC created Common Measures for Evaluating CST / CMA Annual CST / CMA Annual Annual CELDT for Treasures Progress English Learners Assessments Monitoring / Assessments Year 1: Success DIBELS DIBELS Multi-media & Computer Strategies for Achieving Replacement Curriculum Multi-media & Computer **AEMP/MELD Strategies** After school & Saturday Tier 3 Intensive pull out Access & AEMP/MELD Tier 1Core Instruction Tier 2 Sm. group push Communal Learning Academic Language Advanced Graphic Access Strategies Year 1: School Tutoring. Cooperative and RtI<sup>2</sup> Framework Goal RtI2 Tier 1 and Conversations differentiation (ex. Read 180; LANGUAGE) Environment Instructional Organizers in/pull out Tech. Tech. Year 1: Goal/ Target Harbor 24.0% 18.0% 32.4% 32.4% 15.4% 51.3% %0.07 40.0% 34.3% (min) Safe N/A N/A Baseline (10-11)33.6% 20.0% 78.0% 33.2% 27.0% 57.0% 36.0% 36.0% %0.9 N/A N/A Baseline (09-10)35.0% 36.0% 34.9% 54.0% 31.0% 14.2% %0.67 16.0% 8.5% N/A N/A Disadvantaged Economically % of all students scoring FBB/BB % of all students Indicators scoring Prof or Education Learners **American** Learners English English African Special Special Latino White Asian CST ELA Adv

**Target** 51.4% Year 51.4% Goal/ 51.4% Design Team Name: Local District 7/UTLA N/A N/A Target Goal/ 46.0% Vear 46.0% 46.0% N/A N/A Diagnostic Assessments Formative Assessments Measures for Evaluating Annual CELDT for English Treasures Progress Success Year 1: Monitoring / Learners Year 1: Strategies for Achieving Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math Science Technology Academy Depth & Complexity Acceleration/Pacing Goal Differentiation Strategies Novelty Icons Year 1: Goal/ Target 40.0% 40.0% N/A 40.0% N/A Baseline (10-11)33.3% 33.1% N/A 33.2% N/A Baseline (09-10) 61.5% 30.3% N/A N/A 30.7% Disadvantaged Economically PSC School Site: Indicators Education American African Latino White Asian CCT MATEU

	24.0%	34.0%	53.0%	29.0%	24.0%	N/A	N/A	24.1%				58.5%	Safe
	27.0%	37.0%	29.0%	32.0%	27.0%	N/A	N/A	26.8%				53.8%	Safe
	CST / CMA Annual Summative Assessment Language Arts Periodic Assessments DIBELS PLC created Common Formative Assessments Treasures Progress Monitoring / Diagnostic Assessments Annual CELDT for English Learners												Summative Assessment
	RtI <sup>2</sup> Framework Tier 1 Core Instruction	Tier 2 Sm. group push in/pull out	Tier 3 Intensive pull out	Access Strategies Instructional	Conversations	Cooperative and	earning	anguage Fraphic	Organizers	Replacement Curriculum Touch Math	After school & Saturday School Tutoring.	RtI <sup>2</sup> Tier 1 and	differentiation strategies
	30.0%	41.0%	%0.99	36.0%	30.0%	N/A	N/A	29.7%				48.7%	Safe
		. 0			.0			<b>.</b> 0					
	33.0%	46.0%	73.0%	40.0%	33.0%	N/A	N/A	33.0%				43.0%	
	31.0% 33.0%	42% 46.0%	55.3% 73.0%	40.0% 40.0%	31.0% 33.0%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	31.0% 33.0%				45.0% 43.0%	
CST MATH				40.0%	31.0%					8		ts 45.0%	scoring Prof or

Design Team Name: Local District 7/UTLA PSC School Site: Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math Science Technology Academy

Paseline   Paseline   Coal/ (10-11)   Coal/	Year Year 2: 3: Goal/ Goal/ Target Target		51.4% 53.7%	34.8% 37.8%	37.8% 44.0%	54.0% 59.0%			%		27.0% 33.0%					59.0% 61.0%							
Baseline Goal/ (10-11) Target Harbor Harbor 46.0% A 43.0% 49.0% D C 43.0% 49.0% D N/A N/A II N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A II N/A N/A N/A N/A II N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A II N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A II N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A II N/A			ents	· ·	ogress	-	(B)		141			CST Scores	<ul> <li>Progress Reports</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Periodic Assessments</li> </ul>			Periodic Assessments	<ul> <li>ELD Portfolios</li> </ul>					
Baseline (10-11) H 40.0% 46 40.0% 23.1% 3( 19.6% 27 19.6% 27 N/A	Year 1: Strategies for Achieving Goal		Access Strategies	Differentiation Acceleration/Pacing	Depth Complexity	Novelty	Language of the	Discipline			SDAIE	ELD	After subsel & Lier 2	School Tutoring	Bilingual Support	SDAIE FLD	RTI <sup>2</sup> : Tier 1 & Tier 2	After school & Saturday	Bilingual Support				
Baseline (10-11) 40.0% 19.6% 19.6% N/A 43.2% 10.3%	Year 1: Goal/ Target	Harbor	46.0%	27.6%	30.8%	49.0%	N/A	N/A	48.9%		21.0%					57.5%							
Indicators   Baseline     Advanced   English   28.9%     Learners   Special   21.3%     Education   30.8%     American   30.8%     American   44.4%     White   N/A     Asian   N/A     Economically   44.1%     Disadvantaged   44.1%     Seclassification   9.4%     Rate   \$58.2%     OUATION (high schools only)     Four Year Cohort     Grad Rate   CAHSEE Pass			40.0%	19.6%	23.1%																		
Indicators  Advanced  English  Learners  Special  Education  African  American  American  Anite  Asian  Economically  Disadvantaged  Asian  Economically  Disadvantaged  Asian  Economically  Neclassification  Rate  % EL Students  % CLISH LEARNERS  % Reclassification  Rate  CLISH LEARNERS  % Reclassification  Rate  CALSH EE Students  CALSH Sear Cohort  Grad Rate  CAHSEE Pass	Baseline (09-10)	700.00	28.9%	21.3%	30.8%	44.4%	N/A	N/A	44.1%	(EL)	9.4%		0	V		58.2%				nools only)			
	Indicators	Advanced	English Learners	Special Education	African American	Latino	White	Asian	Economically Disadvantaged	GLISH LEARNERS	% Reclassification	Rate				% EL Students Scoring Proficient	on CELDT			<b>ADUATION</b> (high sch	Four Year Cohort Grad Rate	CAHSEE Pass	to :

Goal/ Year Targe %0.96 Design Team Name: Local District 7/UTLA Target Goal/ %0.96 Vear Measures for Evaluating Suspension & ODR Data School Report Card Monthly Reports Year 1: Success Strategies for Achieving Community Partnerships PSC School Site: Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math Science Technology Academy Tier2 & Tier3 for At-Communication with Regular Monitoring Parent Training & Culture/SWPBSP Year 1: Classroom Visits Goal Risk Student Incentives & Recognitions Education Positive **Parents** Year 1: Goal/ Target %0.96 CULTURE/CLIMATE & MISSION-SPECIFIC Baseline (10-11) 96.1% RETENTION RATE (high schools only) Baseline (09-10) 95.6% % Students In A-G Courses Receiving Attendance Rate for Students # First Time 9th Indicators Grade of C or Requirements % Retained 9th Meeting A-G % Graduates Graders Graders Higher 13 12

Design Team Name: Local District 7/UTLA Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math Science Technology Academy PSC School Site:

Goal/ Farget %0.96 Year 52.5% %0.86 %0.0 33 Target Goal/ Vear %0.96 50.0% 96.0% %0.0 Number of Parent Recognition Certificates Volunteer Sign-in Book Measures for Evaluating School Report Cards School Report Cards School Report Cards Attendance at School Classroom Checklist School Report Cards Suspension Reports Monitoring during Survey Window Monthly Reports Results of Daily Year 1: Success Awarded Events ODR Strategies for Achieving Provide Parent Volunteer Parent & Family Center Volunteer Recognitions Discipline Assemblies Communication with Monitoring Activities to Increase Accessible to Parents Make Survey Easily Parent Involvement Incentives for Staff, Students & Parents Year 1: Communications Parent Meetings Communication Goal w/Stakeholders Alternatives to Opportunities Recognitions Expectations Incentives & Suspensions w/Parents SWPBSP **Parents** Year 1: Target Goal/ %0.96 40.0% 94.0% %0.0 Baseline (10-11)96.2% 23.7% 0.3% 92.1 Baseline (09-10)95.1% 100% 0.5% 0.5% School Experience School Experience Reporting "Often or Always" in Mission-Specific Attendance Rate "Overall School Indicators Involvement" for All Staff Participating Suspensions category of Number of Culture or % Parents % Parents Indicator Survey: Survey: 15 16 17 18

gy Academy Design Team Name: Local District 7/UTLA			
PSC School Site: Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math Science Technology Academy	Year 1: Year Goal/ Strategies for Target Goa		
Dr. Lawrence H. Moo	Baseline Baseline (09-10)		
PSC School Site: I	Indicators	19 Culture or Mission-Specific Indicator	Culture or Mission-Specific Indicator

Local District 7 / UTLA

**Design Team Name** 

Applicant Team Representative Signature

Herry D. M. Kenno II Local District Superintendent Signature

#### Attachment T:

Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math, Science, Technology Academy will follow the Los Angles Unified School District' policies for Assessment Development Timeline.

Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math, Science, Technology Academy is seeking a waiver as part of the LAUSD-UTLA Local School Stabilization and Empowerment Initiative of 2011.

#### Waiver # 4: Local Interim Benchmark Assessments:

After year 1, the staff at LHM MST Academy will have the opportunity to develop an assessment process for students using valid holistic assessments such as DIBELS, Treasures, CORE K-12, Kinder Checklist and/or other research based assessments. As a data driven school, LHM MST Academy will implement assessments that will allow teachers to monitor students periodically (eg. weekly and end of units). RLA and Math pacing plans will be developed with input from teachers in order to best meet the needs of the students.

#### Attachment U:

Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math, Science, Technology Academy will follow the Los Angles Unified School District's 2012-2013 Early Start Calendar

#### Attachment V: Professional Development Schedule

The professional development calendar is aligned with the vision, mission, goals and instructional plan of the school The plan provides for an average of 130 hours of professional development per teacher for the school year. The 5-day Prior to School Year Professional Development will be 30 hours and the professional development will be the remaining 100 hours distributed throughout the school year.

#### Proposed Professional Development Schedule 5 Days before the beginning of the School Year

Days	Topics	Staff
Day 1	Introduction to Professional Learning Communities  • Pillars of Effective School: Vision, Mission, Values and Goals	LD7 Staff Administrator
	The 8 Characteristics of Effective Schools	Instructional Coaches
Day 2	Professional Learning Community/Big Idea # 1: Focus on Learning	LD7 Staff
	Learning for All is a fundamental purpose of a PLC	Administrator
	<ul> <li>Everyone works together with a Focus on Learning for all students</li> </ul>	Instructional Coaches
	• What should be happening at schools to ensure students learn?	
	<ul> <li>Staff collaboration as opposed to working in isolation</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>There's a clarity regarding essential knowledge and skills students have to acquire</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Systematic interventions and enrichment</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Staff uses data to inform and improve their practice</li> </ul>	
	Staff uses data to actively diagnose where students are doing	
	well and where they need help	
Day 2	Evidence of what students know and don't know drives a PLC      Description of the students in the state of the state	157.61-6
Day 3	Professional Learning Community/Big Idea # 2: A Collaborative Culture	LD7 Staff Administrator
	Teachers work interdependently to achieve common goals	Instructional
	<ul> <li>Collaborative teams build shared knowledge and understanding</li> </ul>	Coaches
	about essential learning	
	<ul> <li>Collaboration focuses on issues and questions that most impact student achievement</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Steps to Collaboration:</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Embed collaboration into the routine practices of the school</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Create protected time to collaborate on a weekly basis</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Use Learning Questions to engage in Collective Inquiry</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Monitor the work of collaborative teams through their products</li> </ul>	
Day 4	Professional Learning Community/Big Idea # 3: A Focus on Results	LD7 Staff
	<ul> <li>Teams take collective responsibility for results—Are the</li> </ul>	Administrator
	students learning and how do we know?	Instructional
	Constantly gather and seek evidence to respond to students	Coaches
	who are struggling and also to inform teachers of their own	
	instructional practices  Shift of focus in the use of assessment data from measuring	
	<ul> <li>Shift of focus in the use of assessment data from measuring and reporting student performance to diagnosing and</li> </ul>	
	and reporting student performance to diagnosing and	5

	<ul> <li>improving student performance</li> <li>Data (common formative assessments, periodic assessments, state assessments, etc) triggers reflection and improvement cycles</li> </ul>	
Day 5	Professional Learning Community Cultural Shifts: Adopting the three big ideas requires a cultural shift:  • From teacher centered to learning centered • From teachers individually determine what and how to teach student to teams collaborating to build shared knowledge and understanding of essential learning • From teachers taking responsibility for teaching to teachers taking responsibility for student learning • In PLCs teachers have time and resources to reflect on their own practices	LD7 Staff Administrator Instructional Coaches

#### Professional Development Calendar 1<sup>st</sup> Semester

Week	Banked Time	Staff Meetings	1 Hour after School	Other
	Tuesday's (1 hour)	(1 hour after Banked Tuesday PD)		professional development for targeted audience
1		Review professional development plan Review Opening of School procedures.	Protocols and Norms for Effective Collaboration for the PLCs	1st Monday of the Month: New Teachers' Support: Classroom management strategies
2		Identification of essential standards and unpacking of the standards. Introduction to RTI <sup>2</sup> "good first teaching"	Review and analyze District wide, school, and class CST data using the MyData system. Determine instructional priorities based on school data and class data Align data with school and district priorities	-
3	PLCs develop instructional action plans and pacing plans from data analysis	PLCs develop instructional action plans and pacing plans from data analysis (Continuation from Banked-Time Tuesday) for next 8 weeks	Identification of essential standards and unpacking of the standards. Power Standards and unwrapping of the ELA and Mathematics power standards.	<b>3<sup>rd</sup> Monday of</b> <b>the Month:</b> New Teachers' Support: Stull Evaluation Process
4	Identification of essential standards, unpacking of the standards.	Identification of essential standards. Integration of the Leadership Curriculum /Indicators into content	Identification of essential standards and unpacking of the standards. Revision of action	PLC/SLC Planning Day/RTI <sup>2</sup> Data Day: Focus on Results:

	Power Standards and unwrapping of the ELA and Mathematics power standards.	area. Revision of action plans to ensure they unpack the standards Develop Common Formative Assessments through CORE K-12 Assessment system for progress monitor	plans to ensure teachers unpack the standards Develop Common Assessments through CORE K-12 Assessment system for progress monitor	Topic: Using Data protocols to guide teaching and learning
5	RTI <sup>2</sup> for Tier 2 support /supplemental intervention	Select and plan appropriate support based on most recent data to implement RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 2 strategies.	Select and plan appropriate support based on most recent data to implement RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 2 strategies.	Monday of the Month: New Teachers' Support: Handling Behavior Problems
6	RTI <sup>2</sup> for Tier 2 support /supplemental intervention	Select and plan appropriate strategies based on most recent data to implement RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 2 support	Select and plan appropriate support based on most recent data to implement RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 2 strategies.	Monday of the Month: New Teachers' Support: Interacting and collaborating with students: Homework through the eyes of students. Peer interaction Teaching children to work cooperatively
7	RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 3 strategies known as Intensive Intervention.	*Plan implementation of RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 3 strategies which include more frequent monitoring, collect more in-depth information about student's instructional needs and strategically identify academic problems.	Reflect past week Review current data Modify strategies(Writing) Develop Rubrics for writing	
8	RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 3 strategies known as Intensive Intervention.	*Plan implementation of RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 3 strategies which include more frequent monitoring, collect more in-depth information about student's instructional needs and strategically identify academic problems.	Reflect past week Review current data Modify strategies(Writing) Develop Rubrics for writing	Monday of the Month: New Teachers' Support: Assessments: CORE K-12 Improving students' performance with precise teacher feedback using data to address the needs of

F		T	T	[ -t t
				students needing Tier 2 and Tier 3
	İ			support
9	PLCs develop	PLCs develop	Identification of	PLC Planning
1	instructional	instructional action	essential standards	Day by Grade
	action plans and	plans and pacing plans	and unpacking of the	Level/RTI <sup>2</sup> Data
	pacing plans	from data analysis for	standards.	Day:
1	from data	next 8 weeks	Power Standards and	Focus on Results:
	analysis		unwrapping of the ELA	Topic: Using Data
1		1	and Mathematics	protocols to guide
1		1	power standards.	teaching and
10	7.1			learning
10	Identification of	Identification of	Identification of	Monday of the
Ì	essential	essential standards and	essential standards	Month New
	standards, unpacking of the	unpacking of the standards.	and unpacking of the standards.	Teachers' Support:
	standards.	Power Standards and	Power Standards and	Working with Special Needs
	Power Standards	unwrapping of the ELA	unwrapping of the ELA	students. IEPs
	and unwrapping	and Mathematics power	and Mathematics	MCD outcomes
	of the ELA and	standards.	power standards.	
	Mathematics	Revision of action plans	Revision of action	
	power standards.	to ensure they unpack	plans to ensure they	
	Focus on Action	the standards	unpack the standards	
	Strategies to	Action Strategies to	Action Strategies to	
	strengthen Tier 1	strengthen Tier 1	strengthen Tier 1	
	Support	Support	Support	
11	Identification of essential	Identification of	Identification of	
	standards,	essential standards and unpacking of the	essential standards	
	unpacking of the	standards.	and unpacking of the standards.	
	standards.	Power Standards and	Power Standards and	
	Power Standards	unwrapping of the ELA	unwrapping of the ELA	
	and unwrapping	and Mathematics power	and Mathematics	
	of the ELA and	standards.	power standards.	
	Mathematics	Revision of action plans	Revision of action	
	power standards.	to ensure they unpack	plans to ensure they	
		the standards	unpack the standards	
		Develop Common	Develop Common	
		Assessments through CORE K-12 Assessment	Assessments through CORE K-12	
		system for progress	Assessment system	
		monitor	for progress monitor	
12	Review RTI2 for	Select and plan	Select and plan	Preparing for
	Tier 2 support	appropriate support	appropriate support	Teacher parent
	/supplemental	based on most recent	based on most recent	communication:
	intervention	data to implement RTI <sup>2</sup>	data to implement	Parent conferences
	Using Access	Tier 2 strategies.	RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 2 strategies.	Helping parents
	Strategies and	Using Access Strategies	Using Access	understand their
	SDAIE	and SDAIE	Strategies and SDAIE	child's progress
i				
13	Review RTI <sup>2</sup> for	Select and plan	Soloct and plan	
13	Tier 2 support	appropriate strategies	Select and plan appropriate support	
	TICL 2 Support	appropriate strategies	appropriate support	

	7 • March 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			
	/supplemental intervention Using Access Strategies and SDAIE	based on most recent data to implement RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 2 support Using Access Strategies and SDAIE	based on most recent data to implement RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 2 strategies. Using Access Strategies and SDAIE	
14	Review RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 3 strategies known as Intensive Intervention.  Using Access Strategies and SDAIE	*Plan implementation of RTI2 Tier 3 strategies which include more frequent monitoring, collect more in-depth information about student's instructional needs and strategically identify academic problems.  Using Access Strategies and SDAIE	Reflect past week Review current data Modify strategies(Writing) Develop Rubrics for writing Using Access Strategies and SDAIE	
15	RTI <sup>2</sup> Tier 3 strategies known as Intensive Intervention.  Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	
16	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	
17	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	
18	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	Using Access Strategies and SDAIE to strengthen Tier I, Tier 2 and Tier	

The Public School Choice Review Process will provide the school with additional data and information that will shape the professional development plan.

# Professional Development Calendar 2<sup>nd</sup> Semester (To Be Developed by School Site in December after feedback from the PD for 1<sup>st</sup> Semester)

#### **Waiver Identification Form**

School Site: Dr. Lawrence H. Moore Math, Science, Technology Academy (LHM MST Academy)

Proposed School/Design Team Name: Lo	cal District 7 and United Teachers of Los Angeles					
Proposed Governance Model (mark all that apply):						
x Traditional 🔲 Local Initiative School	Traditional 🔲 Local Initiative School 🗆 Expanded School Based Management					
☐ Pilot ☐ Network Partn	er					
Waiver Request:						
x Methods of improving pedagogy	x Curriculum					
x Assessments	x Scheduling					
x Internal organization (e.g., SLCs)	x Professional development					
x Budgeting control employees	x Mutual consent requirement for					
x Teacher assignments* x S	taff appointments (e.g., department chairs)*					
x Discipline & codes of conduct x O	ther:-7 hour on-site work day two days a week					
	-20 hours of volunteer time per year -Professional Standard of Dress -LIS Waiver #10: Local process/method for determining assignment of teachers to grade level -LIS Waiver #11: Local process/method of selecting teachers as grade level chairs, coordinators, instructional coaches and other out of classroom certificated staffRequesting two additional set-aside rooms -100% Staff Selection by the Personnel Team/Design Team (Article XI Section 16.0)					
☐ Health and safety						
*If you are applying for a new school, the waivers for teacher assignments and staff appointments are not automatic and are subject to separate approval by UTLA and LAUSD. If you are requesting either or both of these waivers, please complete the Waiver-Side Letter Request Form (Attach. 2).  **Both new and focus school applicants selecting "Other" above must provide a rational for requesting the waiver(s) by completing the Waiver-Side Letter Request Form (Attachment 2). "Other" waivers are subject to separate consideration and approval from the District and UTLA before becoming effective.  If you marked any of the other waiver options above, the rationale should be included in the narrative of the application.  Approval Signature:						
Principal/Administrator:	La Date: Let 6 2012					
UTLA Chapter Chair/Rep:	Date:					

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Office of Staff Relations

#### LAUSD/UTLA WAIVER-SIDE LETTER REQUEST FORM

Please complete the information below and have the form signed by the appropriate administrator and by the Local District Superintendent/Division Head/Designee. Please complete a separate form for each specific waiver request.

Date: February 7, 2012

School/Office: LHM MST Academy Local District/Division: LD 7

CBA Section: (Identify the Article and Section of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) to be

waived)

Waiver Description: (Describe the actions that require a waiver)

The criteria for professional attire will be locally determined by the teachers.

Rationale: (Describe how this waiver will address the needs or functionality of the school and create conditions for improvement)

Teachers must be role models for the students. Professional attire sets a culture of respect and responsibility. The teachers will meet, discuss and identify professional attire that is appropriate to their grade level assignment. The teachers will be asked to adopt this professional attire for all certificated staff.

Requesting Administrator's Approval:

Principal/Administrator

Date

Local District Supt/Division Head/Designee

Data

Send or fax completed/signed form to:

Office of Staff Relations

333 S. Beaudry Avenue, 14th Floor

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Office of Staff Relations LAUSD/UTLA WAIVER-SIDE LETTER REQUEST FORM Waiver 15

Please complete the information below and have the form signed by the appropriate administrator and by the Local District Superintendent/Division Head/Designee. Please complete a separate form for each specific waiver request.

Date: February 7, 2012

School/Office: LHM MST Academy Local District/Division: LD7

**CBA Section:** (Identify the Article and Section of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) to be waived) Article XI Section 16.0

Waiver Description: (Describe the actions that require a waiver)

Full autonomy in the initial selection of its teachers for all site-based openings

**Rationale:** (Describe how this waiver will address the needs or functionality of the school and create conditions for improvement)

As part of the Local School Empowerment Initiative, LHM MST Academy is requesting full autonomy in the selection of its teachers for all site-based openings. The Staff Selection Committee will select staff based on experiences, content knowledge and expertise, and commitment to the PSC plan. This request is being made with full understanding of the legal mandates stated in the District-UTLA agreement. We are requesting that LHM MST Academy be granted the privilege to select 100% percent of its teachers and be free of District-mandated priority placements, or "must-place" teachers, including those from feeding schools (Miramonte Elementary School, Lillian Elementary School and Hooper Street Elementary School).

Requesting Administrator's Approval:

Principal/Administrator

Date

Feb. 6. 2412

Local District Supt/Division Head/Designee

Date

Send or fax completed/signed form to:

Office of Staff Relations

333 S. Beaudry Avenue, 14th Floor

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Office of Staff Relations LAUSD/UTLA WAIVER-SIDE LETTER REQUEST FORM Waiver 15

Please complete the information below and have the form signed by the appropriate administrator and by the Local District Superintendent/Division Head/Designee. Please complete a separate form for each specific waiver request.

Date: February 7, 2012

School/Office: LHM MST Academy Local District/Division: LD 7

**CBA Section:** (Identify the Article and Section of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) to be waived) Article IX 1.0 General Workday Provisions

Waiver Description: (Describe the actions that require a waiver)

The employee hours for two days a week will be seven hours on-site and one hour off-site,

Rationale: (Describe how this waiver will address the needs or functionality of the school and create conditions for improvement)

This additional on-site hour will be used to provide opportunities for enrichment programs and vertical and horizontal articulation.

The Small Learning Communities (SLC) will develop an after school program to take place during the extra on-site hour. The afterschool activities will provide students and their families' more freedom to select programs and activities based on interest and needs. The after-school programs will allow the stakeholders opportunities to implement the school's mission of providing standards based tiered instruction and intervention that addresses the academic, social, and emotional development of each student through equal opportunity for engagement in an enriching and collaborative learning community. This additional time is necessary because the regular school day does not allow time for these enrichment activities and articulation. The community where the school is located lacks sufficient, affordable recreation centers where these types of activities could take place. Schools in our community are vital in providing the students with exposure to these types of after school programs. These activities support academic achievement in school through the development of the child.

Requesting Administrator's Approval:

Principal/Administrator

Date

Thancias Jonasles

Local District Supt/Division Head/Designee

Date

Send or fax completed/signed form to:

Office of Staff Relations

333 S. Beaudry Avenue, 14th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017

#### LOCAL DISTRICT 7/ UTLA

Lawrence H. Moore Math Science Technology Academy (SRES #12)

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Office of Staff Relations

# LIS Waiver # 11 Local Process/Methods for Selecting Teachers As Grade Level Chairs, Coordinators, and Instructional Coaches LAUSD/UTLA WAIVER-SIDE LETTER REQUEST FORM

Please complete the information below and have the form signed by the appropriate administrator and by the Local District Superintendent/Division Head/Designee. Please complete a separate form for each specific waiver request.

Date: February 7, 2012

School/Office: LHM MST Academy

Local District/Division: LD7

CBA Section: (Identify the Article and Section of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) to be

waived) Article IX -A Section 3.0, 5.0, 5.1, and 6.0

Waiver Description: (Describe the actions that require a waiver)

A Staff Selection Committee will be established in order to select non-register carrying

certificated support staff based on a locally created criteria.

Rationale: (Describe how this waiver will address the needs or functionality of the school and

create conditions for improvement)

A Staff Selection Committee will be established in order to select teachers as grade level chairs, coordinators and instructional coaches based on locally created criteria. This waiver is needed in order to have the most qualified support personnel selected for the position. The Staff Selection Committee will include four teachers (three elected for that purpose, plus the ULTA Chapter Chair), the Principal or designated administrator; a classified employee selected by the school's classified staff and two parents selected by the parents on the School Site Council. The selection process will include a district-wide search, an application process, and interviews. The Staff Selection Committee will reach consensus in selection of staff.

Requesting Administrator's Approval:

Principal/Administrator

Transiss Jonesles

Local District Supt/Division Head/Designee

Date

Send or fax completed/signed form to:

Office of Staff Relations

333 S. Beaudry Avenue, 14th Floor

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Office of Staff Relations

#### LAUSD/UTLA WAIVER-SIDE LETTER REQUEST FORM

Please complete the information below and have the form signed by the appropriate administrator and by the Local District Superintendent/Division Head/Designee. Please complete a separate form for each specific waiver request.

Date: February 7, 2012

School/Office: LHM MST Academy Local District/Division: LD7

**CBA Section:** (Identify the Article and Section of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) to be waived)

Waiver Description: (Describe the actions that require a waiver)

We are requesting two additional set-aside rooms to provide a math laboratory and a science laboratory for the students.

Rationale: (Describe how this waiver will address the needs or functionality of the school and create conditions for improvement)

We are requesting laboratories to fulfill our mission and vision, which is to offer an enhanced and enriched math and science program. This objective cannot always be accommodated in the regular classroom. Specific equipment and materials, as a space to store them, are needed to meet these goals.

Requesting Administrator's Approval:

Principal/Administrator

Date

Let. 6, 2012

Local District Supt/Division Head/Designee

Send or fax completed/signed form to:

Office of Staff Relations

333 S. Beaudry Avenue, 14th Floor

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Office of Staff Relations LAUSD/UTLA WAIVER-SIDE LETTER REQUEST FORM

LIS Waiver # 10: Local Process/Method for Determining Assignment of Grade Level

Please complete the information below and have the form signed by the appropriate administrator and by the Local District Superintendent/Division Head/Designee. Please complete a separate form for each specific waiver request.

Date: February 7, 2012

School/Office: LHM MST Academy Local District/Division: LD7

CBA Section: (Identify the Article and Section of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) to be

waived) Article IX-A 2.0 c ii

Waiver Description: (Describe the actions that require a waiver)

The Staff Selection Committee will develop a process for determining assignments to grade levels based on experiences, content knowledge expertise, commitment to the PSC plan, and the educational program.

**Rationale:** (Describe how this waiver will address the needs or functionality of the school and create conditions for improvement)

The Staff Selection Committee will develop a process for determining assignments to grade levels based on the ability to implement the school's experiences, content knowledge expertise, commitment to the PSC plan, and the educational program. The process will include, submission of a preference form including relevant qualifications and experiences and assignment by the Staff Selection Committee. The matrix with the assignments will be posted at a designated time. This waiver is needed in order to have staff assigned to grade levels based on the needs of the whole child. The teachers' experiences required for addressing the needs of the child vary grade to grade. Therefore, the school needs to be able to establish a method by which teachers with the appropriate training and background are placed in specific grades. The Staff Selection Committee will establish an equitable method by which teachers are assigned to a grade. The Staff Selection Committee will include four teachers (three elected for that purpose, plus the ULTA Chapter Chair), the Principal or designated administrator; a classified employee selected by the school's classified staff and two parents selected by the parents on the School Site Council. Adjustments to this selection process will be reviewed and amended as needed. The Staff Selection Committee will reach consensus in selection of staff.

Reguesting Administrator's Approval:	
Sarbera C. Fall	Lb. 62012
Principal/Administrator //	Date
Francisco Dongolez	Feb. 6, 2012
Local District Supt/Division Head/Designee	Date
Send or fax completed/signed form to: Office of Staff Relations	

333 S. Beaudry Avenue, 14th Floor

Fax: 213-241-8405 Phone: 213-241-6056

# LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Office of Staff Relations

#### LAUSD/UTLA WAIVER-SIDE LETTER REQUEST FORM

Please complete the information below and have the form signed by the appropriate administrator and by the Local District Superintendent/Division Head/Designee. Please complete a separate form for each specific waiver request.

Date: February 7, 2012

School/Office: LHM MST Academy Local District/Division: LD7

CBA Section: (Identify the Article and Section of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) to be

waived)

Waiver Description: (Describe the actions that require a waiver)
Teachers will volunteer a minimum of twenty hours per school year

Rationale: (Describe how this waiver will address the needs or functionality of the school and create conditions for improvement)

According to the proposal, parents and staff are being asked to volunteer a minimum of twenty hours per school year. Having the teachers commit to the same twenty hours per year would create a feeling of community. Parent conferences, home visits, participating in after school activities, attending advisory council meetings are a few of the ways teachers could accrue the twenty hours.

Requesting Administrator's Approval:

Principal/Administrator

Local District Supt/Division Head/Designee

Send or fax completed/signed form to: Office of Staff Relations

333 S. Beaudry Avenue, 14th Floor

Date

Date

Fet. 6, 2012